

GEN1.20 Point of information: the CAA Safeguarding and Airfield Advisory Team was disbanded last year. I understand, however, that the CAA has confirmed to the GAAC that, contrary to claims made by NGET, the CAA stands by all statements made by the Safeguarding and Airfield Advisory Team in relation to NGET's pylon proposals.

SS1.8 Point of information: Norfolk Gliding Club owns Tibenham Aerodrome so no distinction needs to be made.

SS1.8 Comment: I understand that neither NGC nor PFA is able to make any progress on finalising an SoGC because NGET has failed to provide any relevant information, including information that they have requested relating to: the reasons for choosing a pylon route that passes so close to two busy airfields; the cost of the chosen route as compared with alternative routes; and aviation safety concerns. In particular, although NGET has relied heavily on a report made by Alan Stratford and Associates Ltd, it has failed to provide a copy of this to NGC or PFA, or indeed to the Planning Inspectorate (see SS1.6). Furthermore, NGET appears to misunderstand the distinction between questions of fact and opinion, refusing, for example, to accept that it did not consult NGC or PFA in 2022. Either a statement of fact is correct or it is not. There is no discussion to be had about it as NGET suggests.